

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Coastal Oregon Resource Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 05:02:52 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Coastal Oregon Resource Advisory Council

3b. GSA Committee No.

2550

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

03/05/2018

6. Expected Renewal Date

03/05/2020

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

Not Applicable

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

FLPMA & The Secure Rural Schools & Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-393) & FLREA

13. Effective Date

10/21/1976

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 0

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$36,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$38,000.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.30

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The BLM's Coastal Oregon RAC provides representative citizen counsel and advice to the Secretary of the Interior through the Bureau of Land Management's Coos Bay District Manager and State Director concerning the planning and management of public land resources located within the jurisdictional boundaries of the BLM's Coos Bay and Northwest Oregon Districts.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Members of the Coastal Oregon RAC are appointed to represent the following three interest groups:Category One: Five members who represent energy and mineral development (with a special emphasis on transportation or rights-of-way interests); the commercial timber industry; organized labor or non-timber forest product harvester groups; developed outdoor recreation, off-highway vehicle users, or commercial recreation (with a special emphasis on commercial or recreation fishing); or Federal grazing or other land permits or represent nonindustrial private forest land owners.Category Two: Five members who represent nationally recognized environmental organizations; regionally or locally recognized environmental organizations; dispersed recreational activities; archaeological and historical interests; or nationally or regionally recognized wild horse and burro interest groups, wildlife or hunting organizations, or watershed associations.Category Three: Five members who hold state elected office; hold county or local elected office; represent Indian tribes within or adjacent to the area for which the Council is organized; are school officials or teachers with knowledge in natural resource management or the natural sciences; or represent the affected public-at-large and/or are employed by a state agency responsible for the management of natural resources, land or water.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The RAC did not meet in FY18, but meetings typically occur two to four times per year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC develops recommendations for the BLM regarding the preparation, amendment, and implementation of land use plans for the public lands and resources within the jurisdiction of the RAC. This may require the RAC to, at the direction of the Designated

Federal Official, gather and analyze information, conduct studies and field examinations, hear public comments, and provide advice on the issues presented. The RAC will also make recommendations on Secure Rural Schools Title II project proposals when the legislation is reauthorized. The Advisory Council is also chartered to make recommendations to the Secretary regarding recreation fees.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public. Notices of the meetings are published in the Federal Register, posted on the blm.gov website, and distributed to local newspapers.

21. Remarks

The RAC did not meet in FY18.

Designated Federal Officer

Kathy Westenskow Coos Bay District Manager

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Brainard, Warren	09/14/2016	09/14/2019	Chief, Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians	Representative Member
Cellura, Kevin	07/31/2015	07/31/2018	Teacher	Representative Member
Kennedy, Michael	07/31/2015	07/31/2018	Natural Resource Manager, Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	Representative Member
LaFrance, Donald	07/31/2015	07/31/2018	Retired, Oregon Department of Forestry	Representative Member
Olson, Kurt	07/31/2015	07/31/2018	Member, Cougar Mountain Riders Assoc.	Representative Member
Riley, Eric	09/14/2016	09/14/2019	Executive Director, Partnership for the Umpqua Rivers	Representative Member
Schrager, Hannah	09/14/2016	09/14/2019	Stewardship Coordinator, Oregon State Lands Dept	Representative Member
Slater, Timm	09/14/2016	09/14/2019	Retired, Land Use Manager, Weyerhaeuser Co	Representative Member
Tresidder, Kent	07/31/2015	09/14/2019	Retired, Bureau of Land Management	Representative Member
Villers, Mark	07/31/2015	09/14/2019	Chair, Coos Soil and Conservation District	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 10

Narrative Description

The Coastal Oregon RAC is a citizen advisory council that serves in an advisory capacity concerning the planning and management of the public land resources located in the BLM's Coos Bay, Eugene, and Salem Districts.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

Not Applicable

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

An in-depth analysis has not been done to determine cost savings associated with the Coastal Oregon RAC. However, the contributions of the RAC are of great benefit to the BLM.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

38

Number of Recommendations Comments

The RAC did not develop recommendations in FY18. In FY 17, the committee recommended 21 of 24 projects for funding under Title II of the Secure Rural Schools Act. This totaled \$506,988.97 in project dollars. The money is in the process of being

distributed to the project proponents via assistance agreements and contracts. In FY 2016, the advisory committee recommended 17 of 21 projects for funding under Secure Rural Schools Act. The committee recommended approximately \$500,000 of Title II funding requests under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act. The money is in the process of being distributed to the requesters via assistance agreements and contracts.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The advisory committee implemented 100% (all 17) projects for funding under Secure Rural Schools Act in FY 16, all all 21 projects in FY 17.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

All advisory council recommendations were fully implemented.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Feedback is provided at in-person meetings, via email, and/or through official correspondence.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Approved grants or other payments under Title II of Secure Rural Schools legislation.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 24

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 21

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$506,988

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO ☒

Online Agency Web Site ☒

Online Committee Web Site ☒

Online GSA FACA Web Site ☒

Publications ☐

Other ☐

Access Comments

Not Applicable